

FILED
IN CLERKS OFFICE

1 Arthur Thyme
2 201 Maple St.
3 Chelsea, Massachusetts 02150
4 858.262.4382 (cl)
5 E-MAIL PREFERRED: jesse.swartz5@gmail.com

2021 JUN 17 AM 11:06

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASS.

7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

10 Arthur Thyme,) CASE NO.
11 Plaintiff-petitioner.)
12)
13 v.) PETITION TO COMPEL REMEDY
14)
15 The Republican Party &)
16 The Democratic Party,)
17 Defendant-respondents.)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

1 **I. Introduction**

2 The petition within is based upon a conclusion drawn from many experiences, those experiences
 3 most recently documented in the petition and supporting brief found in No. 21-10970 (D.Mass.),
 4 Docs 1 and 7. The Omega entity described in that suit is, pursuant to this filing, actuated and
 5 empowered by a significant portion of the two major U.S. political parties.
 6

7 **II. Jurisdiction**

8 28 U.S.C. § 1331 gives the federal courts jurisdiction where the matter relates to a violation of
 9 federal law. The entity responsible for quelling inter-state criminal activity is the U.S. Department of
 10 Justice via the Racketeering Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO") codified in 18
 11 U.S.C. § 1961 *et seq.*
 12

13 **III. Cause of Action**

14 The two major political parties operate as gangs. The two gangs are not only in violation of the
 15 RICO Act, they violate Constitutional premise and common sense.
 16

17 **IV. Facts in Support of Claims**

18 A. The Federalist Party: Building Capability

19 One of Alexander Hamilton's papers - Federalist Paper No. 1 – seems to sensibly suggest the
 20 presence of our country's objective:
 21

22 "It has been frequently remarked that it seems to have been reserved to...this country...to
 23 decide the important question, whether societies...are...capable or not..."¹

24 Note the last phrase: "capable or not." If we take such a phrase as a guiding principle overseeing the
 25 paths to producing value, then society's formation seems sensible. An example: In the United States,
 26 all people, presuming they are of sound health, are not only afforded educational opportunity, those
 27 persons are expected to attend, to pay attention and to do at least a portion of their homework.
 28


¹ See *Tortious Interruptus* at No. 20-cv-7370 (N.D. Ill.), Doc 60, pg. 7.

Assessment based upon such effort occurs and those assessments are usually graded on an A-F scale. At the end of their 13th year of publicly-provided education, graduates are awarded a diploma coupled with a grade point average (GPA).

Admission into college thereafter depends upon prior work effort and subsequent output, an assessment based upon essays, high school grades, extra-curricular activities, criminal records, standardized test results (i.e., the SAT exam) and the type of school a particular candidate attended. In other words, if a prospective student does not meet certain standards, that student does not gain admission into the college to which they are applying.

The summary, without belaboring the point, is that skills and capabilities are built prior to the age of 18; they are assessed thereafter, supposedly by a group of unbiased and objective individuals reviewing common measurements, those persons noting very unequal and uncommon results. See below, SAT Math scores reported by ethnicities.

Fig. IV.A.1 - SAT Scores (1986-2012), by Ethnicity



Per the picture above, math scores for non-Asian Caucasian test-takers averaged from a 520 to a 540 (1987-2012). Those considering themselves of Asian descent averaged a 600 and those who consider themselves African American scored an average of 430 (2012). In addition, most states maintain truancy laws ensuring young citizens attend school. Based on these laws, if a young adult

1 does not attend high school, they are punished. In other words, the educational system and legal
 2 apparatus we have deployed follows one phrase from Federalist Paper No. 1: Are you capable or not?

3 B. Party-based control vs. competency-based reward system

4 Petitioner, over the years, has struggled to find a precise definition of what one political party
 5 stands for versus another. If we have a common measurement system to build and assess core
 6 capabilities as Federalist Paper No. 1 suggests we require, then why do we need two entities vying for
 7 control? Do we require parties or do we simply require a scorecard measuring performance in a
 8 number of important trials, tests and challenges?

9 In our current system of governance, one party, the Democratic Party, exists to please the
 10 African American, female, Hispanic American and gay population. In other words, if a person is gay
 11 or African American, they should vote for and support the Democratic Party. That party, in return,
 12 will ensure their members have jobs and good lives. They do not, after their years of education and
 13 employment, speak of their SAT Math scores or work successes; they instead focus upon their
 14 ethnicity, sexual orientation or their gender as justification for holding a particular position. These
 15 characteristics have little to do with designing or building a car or house, but they remain the focal
 16 topics most often discussed within this party, a group seeking control of not only governmental
 17 authority, but S&P 500 leadership as well.²

18 The other major political party, The Republicans, appear to maintain geographical
 19 commonality. They are defined as people residing in the rural areas of the United States and the
 20 group is comprised of predominantly Caucasian men, specifically those with European roots.
 21 Republicans support the freedom to acquire weapons and many are often affiliated with Christian
 22 entities; a subset of persons in this group considers themselves “the religious right.” Donald J.
 23

24
 25
 26
 27
 28

² <https://www.wsj.com/articles/this-years-influx-of-directors-starts-shift-in-boardroom-diversity-11623835801>

1 Trump, Jr. is apparently the senior-most leader of this group. Based upon common characteristics, it
2 stands to reason that if a person is heterosexual and Caucasian living in Smalltown, U.S.A, that
3 person should therefore vote Republican and consider themselves same.

4 In both cases, no discussion of competencies required occurs.

5 So what do these groups do and why are they so influential? Why do I need to give one or the
6 other money? If I have skills, why don't I rest my ability to gain acceptance to college or a home
7 based upon those skills? It then occurred to me that the reason a person gives money to one or the
8 other is to ensure your placement among their organizations.

9
10 Republicans have pooled money over the years to control the military's contractors and
11 sponsor the police forces; Democrats control many law firms, major entertainment companies and
12 smaller niche CPG and retail companies. The group members' leadership names the corresponding
13 executives and Board members of these entities. A person pays into these two parties to reserve their
14 spot in one of their member companies, as long as they continue to abide by party's policies.

15
16 Membership affords people the ability to bypass legal infractions dependent upon your standing
17 within each political party, how much you've donated over the years and whether or not you've
18 angered a higher-ranking party member. The system is based upon loyalty, secrecy and control, not
19 upon an individual's competency or common sense.

20
21 But note the difference in how we are defined by the educational system for the first 13 years
22 of formal, standardized group training, and within most product-making companies where we find
23 employment thereafter, versus how these 2 massively vague entities are defined? Apparently, there is
24 no minimum SAT Math score for membership into either party, yet there is most certainly a
25 minimum SAT score for membership into MIT's undergraduate engineering class, a class required to
26 design cars or buildings every Republican or Democrat requires for their life enjoyment.

1 C. The Societal Scorecard v. The Political Parties

2 The two political parties are vaguely defined and produce nothing themselves, yet they
3 control all of society. Peruse Wikipedia and you'll find that government leaders are almost always
4 party members: A Democrat or Republican has held every significant seat in each of the 3 branches
5 of government for the last 100 years. Don't think a Democrat or Republican dictates judicial
6 behavior? Just how do you think federal judges obtain their seats? They are conferred by a group of
7 Democrats and Republicans.

8 And, at least publicly, there are no declared standardized measurements leveraged by either in
9 obtaining their member's authority. In fact, their basis of disagreement or concurrence is usually
10 synonymous with what members desire. African Americans desiring to skip math classes and gain
11 admission into prestigious colleges are given the right, via their Democratic standing, to do so, as
12 long as those same Democrats agree to permit a duopoly owned and controlled by Republicans to
13 exist. Such concurrence we call "bipartisan agreements." And bipartisanship is wonderful.

14 To maintain dominance, one candidate is defamed in favor of the other, media investments
15 occur to ensure image and public perception is properly controlled, and placating the majority's every
16 wish – regardless of the skill sets of that majority – dictates who takes over command of the U.S.
17 military. In school and during our employment we are expected to perform; but in politics and S&P
18 500 Board governance, to control society, we look only to whether a person considers themselves
19 blue or red. We have thus moved along the following path: common sense and reason lead us to
20 Hamilton's capability test; Hamilton's first paper leads to whether or not a person is blue or red.

21 Recognizing such an illogical and vague system is why the scorecard was developed. See No.
22 17-55649 (C.A.9) at Doc 146. What are all the skills required to be built and how do we measure
23 each citizen in every aspect, according to that aspect's important? And how do we distribute benefits

1 according to these common assessments? It's not original; people ages 5 through 18 all have
2 opportunity to pass through the scorecard's activities and tests. If a person is ranked based upon its
3 segments and distributions prior to their 18th year on Earth, with a few minor changes to the scorecard
4 last filed, those ranking high will be sound members of society, highly capable in a number of
5 economically valuable areas.
6

7 But consider the following: If the *Scorecard*'s measures suggest the absence of skills in the
8 current power base (i.e., Democrats and Republicans holding seats of power), then an enormous
9 oppositional uproar to the scorecard will occur. In other words, the opposition to *The Scorecard*,
10 built on logic and reason, would be based upon the two parties' dependence upon something other
11 than what is within it. Donald J. Trump may have scored a 500 on his SAT Math section and never
12 worked a difficult job in his life. He doesn't want the world to know such is the case if he seeks a
13 leadership position. Such disparity makes him look and sound inept.
14

15 Furthermore, The Omega blacklisting and punishment system introduced in No. 21-10970
16 (D.Mass.) is owned and operated by high-ranking Democrats and Republicans to protect these two
17 parties' control over all society. If petitioner, as example, was a former Republican, upset a ranked
18 member via disloyalty or disagreement thereby making the party member look foolish or inept, he is
19 subsequently cast out and punished by a series of persons and entities operating within The Omega,
20 veiled underneath non-profit umbrellas, as was partially described in that suit.
21

22 Such a system, and knowledge of its existence, is how the two groups maintain control. A
23 high-ranking party official need not know business fundamentals, he or she must simply be loyal.
24

25 //
26
27
28

1 **V. Remedy³**

2 If this petition or complaint is accurate in its basic assertion – that the two political parties have
 3 become synonymous with gangs – then the FBI, the entity responsible for eliminating political
 4 corruption and inter-state gang activity – would need to recognize this fact and elevate, to Zeus
 5 perhaps, the following high level steps as a necessary plan of action to correct the matter.

6
 7 **A. New system creation**

8 A new political system would need to be created behind closed doors, that system based upon
 9 objective skill development and proper assessment thereof. The system would rank all citizens'
 10 foundational competencies by a scorecard's measures, after first creating the scorecard itself, those
 11 persons would do so accurately and then, within that group of highly scored individuals, identify the
 12 most competent persons for selection and placement into the new government's, and F1000's,
 13 leadership after additional tests are assessed during private sector competition (i.e., foundation ->
 14 private sector -> governance). The military would be informed and prepared for the remove and
 15 replacement process to follow.

16
 17 **B. Disclosure**

18
 19 Current Republican and Democratic leadership would be informed of the problem and why
 20 they were going to be removed. The disclosure process would be intended to convince those
 21 compromised persons of the problem in order to permit them to step aside in favor of the newly
 22 trained leaders to avoid casualties.

23
 24 **C. Remove and replace process initiated**

25 With the support of the now-briefed military, the highest ranked persons based on the scorecard's
 26 assessment, including their private sector performances post-education, are then deployed into
 27 governmental entities and F1000 firms in order to replace those who have been compromised by their

28 ³ See 5 U.S.C. § 706.

1 party membership. Democrats and Republicans are replaced in their entirety by scorecard-ranked
2 and vetted personnel in all levels of local, state and federal departments.

3 D. Industry shut down, re-staffing

4 Thereafter, all industries plagued by the parties' influence would need to be shut down
5 temporarily. Industries compromising rules (i.e. banned substance use) and manipulating
6 performance measures (i.e., athletic, media and entertainment entities leveraging banned substance
7 use, persons in power with absent or below-the-bar skills) are shut down as new leaders rid
8 themselves of these influences. Those entities are then re-staffed with impartial persons ranked by a
9 common scorecard's measures and restarted after their respective houses have been cleaned.

10 E. Law and policy re-write

11 New leadership would review all laws to ensure the presence of sensibility, clarity and logic.
12 Where those traits are found absent, the laws are removed or rewritten. Laws favoring or disfavoring
13 persons based upon gender, sexual orientation or ethnicity, as example, are replaced with more
14 sensible measures such as SAT Math scores. The current Electoral College and popular vote is
15 replaced by a skill-based, scorecard assessment. Scores and competencies dictate voting capability
16 under a new system, yet to be created. Vetted and trained leaders, properly placed, hold positions for
17 their lifetimes, absent significant wrongdoings.

18 **VI. Conclusion**

19 I recently wrote to a Brigadier General, the guy running West Point, and told him that given the
20 opportunity to attend, and knowing I would have to face what I have faced, I still would not attend
21 West Point. Sure, I'd have more skills and abilities relative to being able to confront and remove
22 hostile forces I've engaged over the past decade, but I would have missed out on significant life
23 enjoyment.

1 To make my point visually, I'm thinking a commercial. My now-husky self is being chased
2 through Boston Commons by a bunch of guys from the 82nd Airborne, several Marine recruiters, a
3 few Navy Seals and maybe even a couple of French Foreign Legion guys wearing NATO gear. I'm
4 carrying a 12-pack of Budweiser, running toward a group of bikini-clad Asian ladies, floating around
5 on some boat in Boston Commons' lake, laughing, urging me to join them.
6

7 But because I'm out of condition, I am summarily tackled by two Navy Seals just before I reach
8 the lake. My Budweiser cans go sprawling on the ground and I am cuffed, kicking and yelling: "NO!
9 I don't wanna go!!!! Get the FUCK off me!!!!" The crew carries me to a nearby Army troop
10 transport where I am thrown into the back like a sack of potatoes.
11

12 The troop transport drives off to Credence Clearwater Revival's "Fortunate Son."

13 The camera returns to the Hot Asian Lady Boat where Matt Damon, Ben Affleck and the
14 Wahlberg brothers are seen enjoying ice cold Budwesiers, smiling and laughing with the ladies.

15 Respectfully,

16 s/ Arthur Thyme
17 Arthur Thyme

18 Date: 6/17/2021